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# ON A SEMILINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH CRITICAL SOBOLEV EXPONENT 

JAN CHABROWSKI AND ANDRZEJ SZULKIN*


#### Abstract

We consider the semilinear Schrödinger equation $-\Delta u+$ $V(x) u=K(x)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+g(x, u), u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, where $N \geq 4, V, K, g$ are periodic in $x_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq N, K>0, g$ is of subcritical growth and 0 is in a gap of the spectrum of $-\Delta+V$. We show that under suitable hypotheses this equation has a solution $u \neq 0$. In particular, such solution exists if $K \equiv 1$ and $g \equiv 0$.


## 1. Introduction and statement of the main result

In this paper we shall be concerned with the semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=K(x)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+g(x, u), \quad u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 4,2^{*}:=2 N /(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent and $g$ is of subcritical growth. More precisely, we make the following assumptions:
(A1): $V, K \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), g \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}\right), K(x)>0$ in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ and $V, K, g$ are 1-periodic in $x_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, N$.
(A2): $|g(x, u)| \leq c_{0}\left(1+|u|^{p-1}\right)$ on $\mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}$ for some $c_{0}>0$ and $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$.
(A3): $g(x, u) / u \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $x$ as $u \rightarrow 0$.
(A4): $0 \leq 2 G(x, u) \leq u g(x, u)$ on $\mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}$, where $G(x, u):=\int_{0}^{u} g(x, s) d s$.
(A5): $0 \notin \sigma(-\Delta+V)$ and $\sigma(-\Delta+V) \cap(-\infty, 0) \neq \emptyset$, where $\sigma$ denotes the spectrum in $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$.
Note that we do not exclude the case of $g \equiv 0$. It is well-known that under our hypotheses on $V$ the spectrum of $-\Delta+V$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is bounded below and is the union of disjoint closed intervals, see e.g. p. 161 and Theorem 4.5.9 in [12]. So (A5) is equivalent to 0 being in a spectral gap of $-\Delta+V$. According to (A3), $g(x, 0) \equiv 0$. Hence $u=0$ is necessarily a solution of (1.1).

Our main result is the following
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that conditions (A1)-(A5) are satisfied, $N \geq 4$ and $K\left(x_{0}\right)=\max _{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K(x)$. If $K(x)-K\left(x_{0}\right)=o\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow x_{0}$ and $V\left(x_{0}\right)<0$, then equation (1.1) has a solution $u \neq 0$.

[^0]Remark 1.2. (i) If $N=4$, then it suffices that $K(x)-K\left(x_{0}\right)=O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow x_{0}$ (see the comment at the end of Section 4). This condition is obviously satisfied if $K$ is of class $C^{2}$.
(ii) The flatness condition $K(x)-K\left(x_{0}\right)=o\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)$ has been imposed by several authors, see e.g. [7].

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following
Corollary 1.3. If conditions (A1)-(A5) are satisfied, $N \geq 4$ and $K(x) \equiv$ $K$ is a positive constant, then equation (1.1) has a solution $u \neq 0$.

Equation (1.1) with $K \equiv 0$ and $V, g$ satisfying (A1)-(A3), (A5) and a stronger version of (A4) (the subcritical case) has been considered by several authors, see e.g. $[1,3,5,9,11,13,16,17,18]$ and the references there. Equation (1.1) under conditions similar to (A1)-(A5) was discussed in [6], and our Theorem 1.1 is an extension of the main result there. We also note that when $g \equiv 0$, (A5) cannot be replaced by the hypothesis that $0 \notin$ $\sigma(-\Delta+V)$. Indeed, as was observed in [4], equation $-\Delta u+\lambda u=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u$, where $\lambda \neq 0$, has only the trivial solution $u=0$ in $W^{1,2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$.

Recall [19] that there is a one-to-one correspondence between solutions of (1.1) and critical points of the functional

$$
J(u):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V u^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K|u|^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} G(x, u) d x .
$$

Moreover, $J \in C^{1}(E, \mathbf{R})$, where $E:=W^{1,2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$. Later we shall see that the functional $J$ has the so-called linking geometry.

In what follows we shall usually abbreviate $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ by $L^{p}$ and the Sobolev space $W^{m, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ by $W^{m, p}$. The norms will be respectively denoted by $\left\|\|_{p}\right.$ and $\left\|\|_{m, p}\right.$. The open ball centered at $a$ and having radius $r$ will be denoted by $B(a, r)$. The spaces $L^{p}$ and $W^{m, p}$ are real except in Section 2 where they are complex.

The second author would like to thank P. Kurasov for helpful discussions on the topic of Section 2.

## 2. The linear operator

Let $\mathcal{L}_{q}: \mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{L}_{q}\right) \subset L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq q<\infty$, be the operator given by $\mathcal{L}_{q} u:=-\Delta u+V(x) u$. If $q=2$, we shall write $\mathcal{L}$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_{2}$. In this section we assume that $V \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), N \geq 1$, and we do not require $V$ to be periodic.
Lemma 2.1. $\mathcal{L}_{q}$ is a closed operator with domain $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{L}_{q}\right)=W^{2, q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$.
Proof. The operator $u \mapsto(V(x)-1) u$ is bounded in $L^{q}$. Therefore it suffices to prove the above statement for $-\Delta+1$. However, this is an immediate consequence of the fact that $(-\Delta+1)^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of $L^{q}$ onto $W^{2, q}$
(a property of the Bessel potentials, see formula (41) and Theorem 3 of Chap. V in [14]).

Recall that in this section the spaces $L^{p}$ and $W^{m, p}$ are complex. By a result of Hempel and Voigt [8], see also Arendt [2, Example 5.3], $\sigma\left(\mathcal{L}_{q}\right)=$ $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ and $\left.\left(\mathcal{L}_{q}-\lambda\right)^{-1}\right|_{L^{q} \cap L^{2}}=\left.(\mathcal{L}-\lambda)^{-1}\right|_{L^{q} \cap L^{2}}$ for all complex $\lambda \notin \sigma(\mathcal{L})$.

Let $(E(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}}$ be the spectral family of $\mathcal{L}$. Then for a fixed $\mu, E(\mu) L^{2}$ is the subspace of $L^{2}$ corresponding to $\lambda \leq \mu$.

Proposition 2.2. If $V \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfies (A5), then $\|u\|_{1, \infty} \leq c_{0}\|u\|_{2}$ for some constant $c_{0}>0$ and all $u \in E(0) L^{2}$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a positively oriented smooth Jordan curve (in C) containing $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \cap(-\infty, 0)$ in its interior and the remaining part of $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ in its exterior. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is a closed operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(0)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma}(-\Delta+V-\lambda)^{-1} d \lambda \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to formula (III.6.19) in [10]. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma}(-\Delta+V-\lambda)^{-1} u d \lambda \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $u \in E(0) L^{2}$. Since $\Gamma$ is compact and $-\Delta+V-\lambda$ is invertible for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$ (as an operator from $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ into $L^{2}$ ), it is easy to see from (2.2) and the Sobolev embedding theorem that $\|u\|_{q_{1}} \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{2,2} \leq c_{2}\|u\|_{2}$, where $q_{1}=$ $2 N /(N-4)$ if $N>4$ and $q_{1}$ may be chosen arbitrarily large if $N \leq 4$ (here and in what follows $c_{1}, c_{2}$, etc. denote positive constants whose numerical values are immaterial). Keeping in mind that $\mathcal{L}_{q}$ is closed and $\mathcal{L}_{q}-\lambda$ is invertible on $\Gamma$ for all $q$, we may employ the usual bootstrap argument: we get $\|u\|_{q_{2}} \leq c_{3}\|u\|_{2, q_{1}} \leq c_{4}\|u\|_{q_{1}} \leq c_{5}\|u\|_{2}$, where $q_{2}=2 N /(N-8)$; after a finite number of iterations $q_{k}>N$ and by (2.2) again, $\|u\|_{2, q_{k}} \leq \tilde{c}\|u\|_{2}$. Now the conclusion follows by the Sobolev embedding $W^{2, q_{k}} \hookrightarrow W^{1, \infty}$.
Proposition 2.3. (Troestler [17]) If $V \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfies (A5) and $q \in$ $(2, \infty)$, then $\left.E(0)\right|_{L^{2} \cap L^{q}}$ is $L^{q}$-continuous. In particular, $E(0)$ and $I-E(0)$ extend to continuous projections of $L^{q}$ onto the complementary subspaces $\mathrm{cl}_{L^{q}}\left(E(0) L^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{cl}_{L^{q}}\left((I-E(0)) L^{2}\right)$ (cl denotes the closure).

Proof. By (2.1), $\|E(0) u\|_{q} \leq\|E(0) u\|_{2, q} \leq c_{0}\|u\|_{q}$ for all $u \in L^{2} \cap L^{q}$ and some $c_{0}>0$. Hence $E(0)$ and $I-E(0)$ may be extended to continuous projections of $L^{q}$ onto the complementary subspaces as required.
Proposition 2.4. If $V \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, then for each $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ there exist constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}=c_{2}(\mu)$ such that $\|u\|_{q} \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{2,2} \leq c_{2}\|u\|_{2}$ whenever $u \in E(\mu) L^{2}$. Here $q=2 N /(N-4)$ if $N>4$, $q$ may be taken arbitrarily large if $N=4$ and $q=\infty$ if $N<4$.
Proof. The operator $\mathcal{L}^{\mu}:=\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{E(\mu) L^{2}}: E(\mu) L^{2} \rightarrow E(\mu) L^{2}$ is bounded. Let $\Gamma$ be a positively oriented smooth Jordan curve enclosing the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}^{\mu}$.

Then (2.2) still holds for all $u \in E(\mu) L^{2}$ (with $(-\Delta+V-\lambda)^{-1}$ replaced by $\left.\left(\mathcal{L}^{\mu}-\lambda\right)^{-1}\right)$. Therefore $\|u\|_{q} \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{2,2} \leq c_{2}\|u\|_{2}$.

## 3. Existence of a Palais-Smale sequence

In this section we assume that the hypotheses (A1)-(A5) are satisfied. Recall $E=W^{1,2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ and let $E^{-}:=E(0) L^{2} \cap E$ and $E^{+}:=(I-E(0)) L^{2} \cap E$ $\left(E(\lambda)\right.$ is as in the preceding section). Then the quadratic form $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\right.$ $\left.V u^{2}\right) d x$ is positive definite on $E^{+}$and negative definite on $E^{-}[15$, Sections 8 and 9]. Hence we may introduce a new inner product $\langle$,$\rangle in E$ such that the corresponding norm $\|\|$ is equivalent to $\| \|_{1,2}$ and $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V u^{2}\right) d x=$ $\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}$, where $u^{ \pm} \in E^{ \pm}$. Set $\psi(u):=\left(2^{*}\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K|u|^{2^{*}} d x+$ $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} G(x, u) d x$; then

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V u^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K|u|^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} G(x, u) d x  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\psi(u)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $z_{0} \in E^{+} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
M:=\left\{u=u^{-}+s z_{0}: u^{-} \in E^{-}, s \geq 0 \text { and }\|u\| \leq R\right\}
$$

and denote the boundary of $M$ in $E^{-} \oplus \mathbf{R} z_{0}$ by $\partial M$. We summarize the properties of $J$ in the following

Proposition 3.1. (i) There exist $\alpha, \rho>0$ and $R>\rho$ ( $R$ depending on $z_{0}$ ) such that $J(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $u \in E^{+} \cap \partial B(0, \rho)$ and $J(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in \partial M$. (ii) $\psi \geq 0, \psi$ is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous and $\psi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Functionals satisfying (i) above are said to have the linking geometry.
Proof. (i) See e.g. [11, 18, 19]. The proofs given there are for nonlinearities of subcritical growth but the argument remains unchanged in our case (the part showing $\left.J\right|_{\partial M} \leq 0$ is in fact somewhat simpler here; observe only that $\left(2^{*}\right)^{-1} K(x)|u|^{2^{*}}+G(x, u) \geq c_{0}|u|^{2^{*}}$ for some $\left.c_{0}>0\right)$.
(ii) It is obvious that $\psi \geq 0$. Let $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$. Then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$, possibly after passing to a subsequence. Hence it follows from the Fatou lemma that $\psi$ is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous. Moreover, since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{l o c}^{p}$, it is easy to see from (A2) and (A3) that

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) v d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g(x, u) v d x \quad \text { for each } v \in E .
$$

Finally, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{l o c}^{(N+2) /(N-2)}$; therefore $K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} \rightarrow K|u|^{2^{*}-2} u$ in $L_{l o c}^{1}$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} \varphi d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K|u|^{2^{*}-2} u \varphi d x \quad \text { whenever } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}
$$

Taking into account that the sequence $\left(K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-1}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2 N /(N+2)}$, we may replace $\varphi$ by $v \in E$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.2. If $J$ is a functional of the form appearing in the second line of (3.1) and if (i), (ii) of Proposition 3.1 are satisfied, then there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ for $J$ such that $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \in\left[\alpha, \sup _{M} J\right]$.

This is a special case of Theorem 3.4 in [11], see also Theorem 6.10 in [19]. We have thus shown that the functional $J$ associated with (1.1) possesses a Palais-Smale sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ with $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$.

Proposition 3.3. The Palais-Smale sequence above is bounded.
Proof. It follows from (A2)-(A3) that for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $c_{1}(\varepsilon)$ such that $|g(x, u)| \leq \varepsilon|u|+c_{1}(\varepsilon)|u|^{2^{*}-1}$. By (A4),

$$
c+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq J\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x
$$

for almost all $n$, and since $K(x)$ is bounded below by a positive constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}} \leq c_{2}+c_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities we obtain, for large $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2} & =\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{+}\right\rangle+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} u_{n}^{+} d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+} d x \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|+c_{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^{*}}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|+c_{5}\left(\varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\|+c_{1}(\varepsilon)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}-1}\right)\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (3.2),

$$
\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\| \leq c_{6}(\varepsilon)+c_{7}(\varepsilon)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\left(2^{*}-1\right) / 2^{*}}+c_{5} \varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\|
$$

and a similar inequality holds for $\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|$. Choosing $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, we see that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ must be bounded.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In the preceding section we have shown that there exists a bounded PalaisSmale sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \in\left[\alpha, \sup _{M} J\right]$. Clearly, $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is either
(i) Vanishing: For each $r>0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbf{R}^{N}} \int_{B(y, r)} u_{n}^{2} d x=0$, or
(ii) Non-vanishing: There exist $r, \eta>0$ and a sequence $\left(y_{n}\right) \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B\left(y_{n}, r\right)} u_{n}^{2} d x \geq \eta
$$

In (ii) we may assume $y_{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{N}$ by taking a larger $r$ if necessary. Suppose (ii) holds and let $\tilde{u}_{n}(x):=u_{n}\left(x+y_{n}\right)$. Since $J$ is invariant with respect to the translation of $x$ by elements of $\mathbf{Z}^{N}$ (i.e. $J(u())=.J(u(.+y))$ whenever $\left.y \in \mathbf{Z}^{N}\right),\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|=\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ and $\left\|J^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{n}\right)\right\|=\left\|J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|$. Hence $\tilde{u}_{n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ after passing to a subsequence, $J^{\prime}(\tilde{u})=0$ and since $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0, r)} \tilde{u}_{n}^{2} d x \geq \eta$,
$\tilde{u} \neq 0$. So $\tilde{u}$ is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 it remains therefore to show that vanishing cannot occur. This will be done in the following two propositions. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\inf _{u \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{2}}{\|u\|_{2^{*}}^{2}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.1. If $0<c<c^{*}:=\frac{S^{N / 2}}{N\|K\|_{\infty}^{(N-2) / 2}}$, then $\left(u_{n}\right)$ cannot be vanishing.

Proof. If $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is vanishing, then it follows from P.L. Lions' lemma [19, Lemma 1.21] that $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{r}$ whenever $2<r<2^{*}$. Let $\left(z_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence in $E$. Since for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is $c_{1}(\varepsilon)$ such that $|g(x, u)| \leq \varepsilon|u|+c_{1}(\varepsilon)|u|^{p-1}$,

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|\left|z_{n}\right| d x \leq c_{2} \varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\|\left\|z_{n}\right\|+c_{3}(\varepsilon)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}\left\|z_{n}\right\|
$$

Using this and a similar argument for $G$ we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) z_{n} d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} G\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \rightarrow 0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+o(1) \rightarrow c \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall $(E(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}}$ is the spectral family of $-\Delta+V$ in $L^{2}$. Let $u=u^{+}+$ $u^{-} \in E^{+} \oplus E^{-}$and write $u^{+}=w+z$, where $w \in E(\mu) L^{2}, z \in(I-E(\mu)) L^{2}$, $\mu>0$ large (to be determined). By Proposition 2.4, $w \in E$, hence also $z \in$ $E$; moreover, $\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|_{q} \leq c_{4}\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|_{2} \leq c_{5}\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ and $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{q} \leq c_{4}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq c_{5}\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, where $q=2 N /(N-4)$ if $N>4$ and $q$ may be taken arbitrarily large if $N=4$. Let $r$ be such that $\left(2^{*}-1\right) / r+1 / q=1$. Then $2<r<2^{*}$ (for $N=4, q$ needs to be larger than 4). Since $\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|_{q}$ is bounded and $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{r}$, we obtain using (4.2) and the Hölder inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2} & =-\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{-}\right\rangle-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} u_{n}^{-} d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{-} d x \\
& \leq\|K\|_{\infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{r}^{2^{*}-1}\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|_{q}+o(1) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} w_{n} d x+o(1) \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}-z_{n}=w_{n}+u_{n}^{-} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2}+V z_{n}^{2}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} z_{n} d x+o(1)  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, for each $\delta>0$ we may find $\mu>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\delta) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2}+V z_{n}^{2}\right) d x \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since $z_{n} \in(I-E(\mu)) L^{2} \cap E$, we have $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2}+V z_{n}^{2}\right) d x \geq \mu\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ and

$$
\delta \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d x \geq \delta\left(\mu-\|V\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} V z_{n}^{2} d x
$$

whenever $\mu$ is large enough. Combining (4.4), (4.1), (4.6) and (4.5) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\delta) S\|K\|_{\infty}^{-2 / 2^{*}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq(1-\delta) S\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2^{*}}^{2} \\
& \quad=(1-\delta) S\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{2^{*}}^{2}+o(1) \leq(1-\delta) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d x+o(1) \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit and using (4.3) we obtain

$$
(1-\delta) S\|K\|_{\infty}^{-2 / 2^{*}}(c N)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq c N
$$

hence either $c=0$ which is impossible or $(1-\delta)^{N / 2} c^{*} \leq c<c^{*}$ which is also impossible because $\delta$ may be chosen arbitrarily small.

Let

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x):=\frac{c_{N} \psi(x) \varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}}{\left(\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}}
$$

where $c_{N}=(N(N-2))^{(N-2) / 4}, \varepsilon>0$ and $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N},[0,1]\right)$ is such that $\psi(x)=1$ for $|x| \leq r / 2$ and $\psi(x)=0$ for $|x| \geq r$ ( $r$ to be determined). We shall need the following asymptotic estimates as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$(see e.g. pp. 35 and 52 in [19]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}=S^{N / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right), \quad\left\|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1}=O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
& \left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}}=S^{N / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N}\right), \quad\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^{*}-1}=O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right), \quad\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1}=O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}= \begin{cases}b \varepsilon^{2}|\log \varepsilon|+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) & \text { if } N=4  \tag{4.8}\\ b \varepsilon^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right) & \text { if } N \geq 5\end{cases}
$$

where $b$ is a positive constant. Finally, let

$$
Z_{\varepsilon}:=E^{-} \oplus \mathbf{R} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \equiv E^{-} \oplus \mathbf{R} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+}
$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $K(0)=\|K\|_{\infty}$ and $V(0)<0$. Moreover, $r$ in the definition of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ may be chosen so that $V(x) \leq-\beta$ for some $\beta>0$ and all $x$ with $|x| \leq r$.
Proposition 4.2. If $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough, then $\sup _{Z_{\varepsilon}} J<c^{*}$. So in particular, if $z_{0}=\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+}$with $\varepsilon$ small enough, then $c \leq \sup _{M} J<c^{*}$.
Proof. Let

$$
I(u):=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K|u|^{2^{*}} d x
$$

Since $I(u) \geq J(u)$ for all $u$, it suffices to show that $\sup _{Z_{\varepsilon}} I<c^{*}$.
In what follows we adapt the argument on pp. 52-53 in [19]. If $u \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{t \geq 0} I(t u)=\frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V u^{2}\right) d x\right)^{N / 2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K|u|^{2 *} d x\right)^{(N-2) / 2}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the integral in the numerator above is positive, and the maximum is 0 otherwise. Let $\|u\|_{2^{*}, K}^{2^{*}}:=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} K|u|^{2^{*}} d x$. It is easy to see from (4.9) that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\varepsilon}:=\sup _{\substack{u \in Z_{\varepsilon} \\\|u\|_{2^{*}, K}=1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V u^{2}\right) d x<\frac{S}{\|K\|_{\infty}^{(N-2) / N}}, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\sup _{Z_{\varepsilon}} J \leq \sup _{Z_{\varepsilon}} I<c^{*}$. So it remains to show (4.10) is satisfied for all small $\varepsilon>0$.

Below we shall repeatedly use (4.7) and (4.8). Since $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right|^{2}+\right.$ $\left.V\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x \leq 0, \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right|^{2} d x \leq c_{1}\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq c_{1}\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 ;$ therefore $\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right\|_{2^{*}} \leq c_{2}\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}} \rightarrow S^{N / 2}$. Suppose $\|u\|_{2^{*}, K}=1$ and write $u=u^{-}+s \varphi_{\varepsilon}=\left(u^{-}+s \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right)+s \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+}$. It follows from Proposition 2.3 and the argument above that $\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2^{*}} \leq c_{3}$ and $|s| \leq c_{3}$ for some constant $c_{3}$ independent of $\varepsilon$. By Proposition 2.2 and convexity of $\left\|\|_{2^{*}, K}\right.$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
1=\|u\|_{2^{*}, K}^{2^{*}} & \geq\left\|s \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}, K}^{2^{*}}+2^{*} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(s \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2^{*}-1} u^{-} d x  \tag{4.11}\\
& \geq\left\|s \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}, K}^{2^{*}}-c_{4}\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^{*}-1}\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.2 again,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u^{-}+V \varphi_{\varepsilon} u^{-}\right) d x & \leq c_{5}\left(\left\|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1}+\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1}\right)\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2}  \tag{4.12}\\
& =O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $V(x) \leq-\beta<0$ for $x \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{\varepsilon}$ and $K(x)-K(0)=o\left(|x|^{2}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} V \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x \leq \begin{cases}-d \varepsilon^{2} & \text { if } N \geq 5,  \tag{4.13}\\ -d \varepsilon^{2}|\log \varepsilon| & \text { if } N=4\end{cases}
$$

for some $d>0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}, K}^{2^{*}} & =\|K\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}(K(x)-K(0)) \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x  \tag{4.14}\\
& =\|K\|_{\infty} S^{N / 2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $N \geq 5$. Using (4.12), (4.14), (4.11), (4.13) and the fact that

$$
-\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2}^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2} \leq O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\varepsilon} \leq & -\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+V \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) d x}{\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}, K}^{2}}\left\|s \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}, K}^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2} \\
\leq & -c_{6}\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+V \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) d x}{\|K\|_{\infty}^{(N-2) / N} S^{(N-2) / 2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)}\left(1+c_{4}\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^{*}-1}\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \\
& +O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2} \\
= & -c_{6}\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{S^{N / 2}-d \varepsilon^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)}{\|K\|_{\infty}^{(N-2) / N} S^{(N-2) / 2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2)}\right)\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2} \\
\leq & \frac{S}{\|K\|_{\infty}^{(N-2) / N}}-d_{0} \varepsilon^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d_{0}>0$. If $N=4$, then in a similar way,

$$
m_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{S}{\|K\|_{\infty}^{(N-2) / N}}-d_{0} \varepsilon^{2}|\log \varepsilon|+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

Hence (4.10) holds provided $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small.
Note that if $K(x)-K(0)=O\left(|x|^{2}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, then (4.14) holds with $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ replacing $o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$. This does not affect the estimate of $m_{\varepsilon}$ if $N=4$. Hence for such $N$ the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 remains valid under the weaker hypothesis on $K$ as in Remark 1.2(i).
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